

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/531,966 | 04/20/2005 | Carl T Wittwer | P00950-US-01 | 8958 |
| 7590 04/30/2009 Jill T Powlick | | | EXAMINER | |
| Ice Miller | | | CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA | |
| One American Square Box 82001 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Indianapolis, IN 46282-0200 | | | 1637 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/30/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/531.966 WITTWER ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1637 Suryaprabha Chunduru All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Survaprabha Chunduru. (2) Homer Faucett. (4)____. Date of Interview: 04 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: Claim 83. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative discussed the limitations in the reicted claim 83 and proposed to amendment the claim. Examiner suggested the proposed amendment and the arguments would be considered. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.